IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

THOMAS J. BONTEMPO and TERRY)
MONTELEONE, and all others similarly)
situated,) CIVIL ACTION NO: 06-745
Plaintiff,) Chief Judge Donetta W. Ambrose/) Mag. Judge Robert C. Mitchell
v.)
WOLPOFF & ABRAMSON, L.L.P.))
Defendants.) Electronically Filed

Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) REPORT OF THE PARTIES

Counsel for the parties and unrepresented parties shall confer regarding the matters identified herein and prepare a signed report in the following form to be filed at least 21 days before the Initial LR 16.1 Scheduling Conference or at such other time as ordered by the court. This report form may be downloaded from the Court's web site as a word-processing document and the information filled in as requested on the downloaded form. The dates to be provided in the report are suggested dates and may be accepted or modified by the Court.

1. **Identification of counsel and unrepresented parties.** Set forth the names, addresses, telephone and fax numbers and e-mail addresses of each unrepresented party and of each counsel and identify the parties whom such counsel represent:

Jeffrey L. Suher, Esquire 4328 Old William Penn Hwy., Ste. 2J Monroeville, PA 15146 (412) 374-9005 (412) 374-0799 (fax) <u>isuherlaw@adelphia.net</u> Attorney for Plaintiff and Putative Class

Ronald S. Canter, Esquire
Robert N. Poulas, Esquire
Wolpoff & Abramson, L.L.P.
Two Irvington Centre, 702 King Farm Boulevard
Rockville, MD 20850
(240) 386-3063 (240) 386-3111(fax)
rsc@wolpofflaw.com
Attorney for Defendant

2. Set forth the general nature of the case (patent, civil rights, anti-trust, class action, etc):

Fair Debt Collection Practices Act - Class Action.

3. Date Rule 26(f) Conference was held, the identification of those participating therein and the identification of any party who may not yet have been served or entered an appearance as of the date of said Conference:

November 7, 2006 Counsel identified above participated

4. Date of Rule 16 Initial Scheduling Conference as scheduled by the Court: (Lead Trial Counsel and unrepresented parties shall attend the Rule16 Initial Scheduling Conference with their calendars in hand for the purpose of scheduling other pre-trial events and procedures, including a Post-Discovery Status Conference; Counsel and unrepresented parties shall attend the Rule 16 Initial Scheduling Conference prepared to discuss the anticipated number of depositions and identities of potential deponents and the anticipated dates by which interrogatories, requests for production of documents and requests for admissions will be served):

November 29, 2006 at 9:30 a.m.

5. Identify any party who has filed or anticipates filing a dispositive motion pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 and the date(s) by which any such anticipated motion may be filed:

Not applicable.

6. Designate the specific Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) process the parties have discussed and selected and specify the anticipated time frame for completion of the ADR process. Set forth any other information the parties wish to communicate to the court regarding the ADR designation. For those cases assigned to Judges Ambrose, Cercone, Hardiman and Schwab, you MUST complete the attached Stipulation Selecting ADR Process, marked as Exhibit A:

Not applicable in this case

7. Set forth any change that any party proposes to be made in the timing, form or requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26(a) disclosures, whether such change is opposed by any other party, whether any party has filed a motion seeking such change and whether any such motion has been ruled on by the Court:

Not Applicable

8. Subjects on which fact discovery may be needed. (By executing this report, no party shall be deemed to (1) have waived the right to conduct discovery on subjects not listed herein or (2) be required to first seek the permission of the Court to conduct discovery with regard to subjects not listed herein):

Plaintiff: Class Certification: defendants' net worth, number of class members.

Procedures adopted to avoid FDCPA violations, training manuals, debt collection procedures/process, consumer complaints, lawsuits filed against defendants, whether employees are following the protocols and policies outlined in Defendants' policies and procedures.

Defendants: Nature of Plaintiff's FDCPA claims to establish their case in chief, suitability of Plaintiffs to act as a class representatives, unique defenses that render Plaintiffs unsuitable to be class representatives including application of the bar of res judicata and collateral estoppel, typicality of Plaintiffs' claim.

Defendant reserves the right to contest the issues that Plaintiffs have listed as suitable for discovery.

9. Set forth suggested dates for the following (The parties may elect by agreement to schedule a Post-Discovery Status Conference, as identified in Paragraph 12, below, at the conclusion of Fact-Discovery rather than at the conclusion of Expert Discovery. In that event, the parties should provide suggested dates only for the events identified in subparagraphs 9.a through 9.e, below. The parties shall provide such information even if dispositive motions pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 have been or are anticipated to be filed. If there are dates on which the parties have been unable to agree, set forth the date each party proposes and a brief statement in support of each such party's proposed date. Attach to this report form a proposed Court Order setting forth all dates agreed to below and leaving a blank for the insertion of a date by the Court for any date not agreed to):

- a. Date(s) on which disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a) have been or will be made: December 8, 2006
- b. Date by which any additional parties shall be joined: December 8, 2006
- c. Date by which the pleadings shall be amended: December 8, 2006
 d. Date by which fact discovery should be completed: March 29, 2006
- e. If the parties agree that discovery should be conducted in phases or limited to or focused on particular issues, identify the proposed phases or issues and the dates by which discovery as to each phase or issue should be completed:
- f. Date by which plaintiff's expert reports should be filed: Defer to Post-Discovery Status Conference
- g. Date by which depositions of plaintiff's expert(s) should be completed: Defer to Post Discovery Status Conference
- h. Date by which defendant's expert reports should be filed: Defer to Post Discovery Status Conference
- i. Date by which depositions of defendant's expert(s) should be completed:

Defer to Post Discovery Status Conference .

Defendant: There is presently ongoing litigation between Defendant's client, MBNA American Bank and the Plaintiffs relating to the arbitration procedure underlying this FDCPA claim. Defendant intends to move for a stay of this litigation within 20 days of this conference asking that this case be stayed until the collateral and earlier filed state court litigation is concluded. If that motion is granted, these deadlines will be extended.

Plaintiff: The Plaintiffs dispute that any underlying litigation relates to the arbitration procedure.

- 10. Date by which third party expert's reports should be filed: Not Applicable
- 11. Date by which depositions of third party's expert(s) should be completed:

Not Applicable

I.

If the parties agree that changes should be made to the limitations on discovery imposed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Local Rule or that any other limitations should be imposed on discovery, set forth such changes or limitations:

See Part IV.

II. Set forth whether the parties have considered the need for special deadlines, procedures or orders of court dealing with discovery of electronically-stored information (electronic discovery), including the need for the preservation of discoverable information and the protection of the right to assert privilege(s) after the production of privileged information and if so, set forth the results of such consideration:

Not Applicable

HI.

Set forth whether the parties have elected to schedule the Post-Discovery Status Conference following the completion of Fact Discovery or Expert Discovery; in either event the parties shall be prepared at the Post-Discovery Status Conference to discuss and/or schedule the following: (The parties are <u>not</u> required during their Rule 26(f) Conference to consider or propose dates for the items identified below. Those dates will be determined, if necessary, at the Post-Discovery Status Conference. Lead trial counsel for each party and each unrepresented party are required to attend the Post-Discovery Status Conference with their calendars in hand to discuss those items listed below that require scheduling. In addition, a representative with settlement authority of each party shall be required to attend; representatives with settlement authority of any insurance company providing any coverage shall be available throughout the Conference by telephone):

A. Settlement and/or transfer to an ADR procedure;

- B. Dates for the filing of expert reports and the completion of expert discovery as itemized in sub-paragaphs 9.f. through 9.k., above, if the parties elected to defer such discovery until after the Post-Discovery Status Conference
- C. Dates by which dispositive motions pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56, replies thereto and responses to replies should be filed;
- D. Dates by which parties' pre-trial statements should be filed;
- E. Dates by which in limine and Daubert motions and responses thereto should be filed;
- F. Dates on which motions in limine and Daubert motions shall be heard:
- G. Dates proposed for final pre-trial conference;
- H. Presumptive and final trial dates.

The parties have elected to schedule the Post-Discovery Status Conference

IV. Set forth any other order(s) that the parties agree should be entered by the court pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b) or 26(c):

Defendant asks that any information supplied during discovery that it identified as business secrets or proprietary in nature, the disclosure of which, could harm the Defendants' business interests be the subject of a properly framed order precluded the Plaintiffs from using the discovery materials for any reason other than the purposes of this litigation and further providing that Plaintiffs return all designated materials to the Defendant at the conclusion of the limitation.

The Plaintiffs will contest any proposed confidentiality request by the Defendant.

V. Set forth whether the parties anticipate that the court may have to appoint a special master to deal with any matter and if so, specify the proposed role of any such master and any special qualifications that such master may require to perform such role:

Not Applicable

VI. If the parties have failed to agree with regard to any subject for which a report is required as set forth above, except for proposed dates required in paragraph 9, above, briefly set forth the position of each party with regard to each matter on which agreement has not been reached:

Not Applicable

VII. Set forth whether the parties have considered the possibility of settlement of the action and describe briefly the nature of that consideration:

None.

Respectfully Submitted:

Date: November 22, 2006

/s/ Jeffrey L. Suher
Jeffrey L. Suher, Esquire
Jeffrey L. Suher, P.C.
4328 Old William Penn Highway
Suite 2J
Monroeville PA 15146
Attorney for Plaintiff

/s/Ronald S. Canter

Ronald S. Canter, Esquire Wolpoff & Abramson, L.L.P. Two Irvington Centre, 702 King Farm Boulevard Rockville, MD 20850

Attorney for Defendant

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED